Cu-Alloying Effect on Structure Stability of Au Micro-Cantilever Evaluated by Long-Term Vibration Test



Results & Discussion

OM Image and height profile after vibration fatigue test 16.0 En l = 1000 μm 12.0 Fip Deflection, *∆h*_{tip} 8.0 Alloying with Cu 4.0 ᡗ 0.0 No cracks and defects Au-Cu0.83wt%, t = 2.3µm -4.0 Small Δh_{tin} Remains straight Au-Cu2.3wt%, t = 1.7µm -8.0 Au-Cu5.8wt%, t = 5.0µm Hardly changed -12.0 Au-Cu0.00wt%, t = 12.0μm -16.0 200 µm F 10^{1} 10^{2} 10^{3} 10^{4} 10^{5} 10^{6} 10^{7} 10^{8} Vibration Cycles 50 3.0 Cantilever Height, h_{iip} / µm Au-Cu2.3wt%, t = 1.7 μm, l = 1000 μm Lip Deflection, Δh_{tip} / μm 45 2.0 Wide width 40 1.0 0.0 \mathbf{Q} 35 -1.0 Small Δh_{tip} 30 Vibration cycles Au-Cu2.3wt%, t = 1.7 µm, l = 500 µm 0 cycle ● 10⁴ cycles ● 10⁶ cycles -2.0 25 🔵 w = 20 μm 🛑 w = 15 μm 🌑 w = 10 μm 10³ cycles • 10⁵ cycles • 10⁷ cycles -3.0 20 $10^1 \ 10^2 \ 10^3 \ 10^4 \ 10^5 \ 10^6 \ 10^7$ 10⁸ 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Vibration Cycles Distance from the base of cantilever, l / µm

Structure stability after vibration fatigue test

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR1433, Japan

Conclusions

• There were no cracks and defects in the cantilever beam after 10³ ~ 10⁷ cycles of vibration

Structural stability was enhanced by alloying with Cu against vibration fatigue